Direct synthesis of electroactive mesoporous hydrous crystalline RuO$_2$ templated by a cationic surfactant
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We describe a direct low-temperature, liquid crystal surfactant templating and crystallization route to form quasi-ordered crystalline mesoporous RuO$_2$·0.4H$_2$O. Our method constitutes a direct approach to the crystalline oxide, constructed of nanosized metal oxide building blocks that are assembled to form thin walls. This leads to high surface areas (up to 250 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$). In our approach, cationic surfactants (i.e., hexadecyl-trimethylammonium chloride, C$_{16}$TMA$^+$Cl$^-$) serve as pore-directing agents, which can participate in an indirect S$^+$/I$^-$ interaction mediated by the chloride ion to coordinate a cationic ruthenium nitrosyl precursor. Gentle decomposition of the initially formed mesostructured metal cation–surfactant composite leads to crystallization of the wall structure. The promising electrochemical properties of porous RuO$_2$·xH$_2$O result from a more highly ordered structure and almost tripled surface area (190 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) compared to that obtained from the nanocasting method. Crystalline mesoporous RuO$_2$·0.4H$_2$O exhibits high capacitance of 410 F g$^{-1}$ and good rate capability, whereas the amorphous mesoporous RuO$_2$·1.3H$_2$O displays capacitance over 700 F g$^{-1}$.

Introduction

The synthesis of hexagonally ordered mesoporous silica MCM-41,4 over a decade ago introduced a revolutionary new method for preparing various 2-D and 3-D periodic materials. Extension to mesoporous transition metal oxides (MTMOs) still remains a considerable challenge for redox-active metals, however. MTMOs are of substantial interest because of their characteristic functional properties and ability to adopt a wide range of valence states. They have immense potential for control of catalytic and photocatalytic reactions,4,5 separation or storage of ions/electrons/molecules for energy conversion and storage;5,6 as redox active surfaces for electrochemical reactions;7,8 and as chemical sensors.5 A relatively crystalline wall structure is often highly desirable for these applications. A good example is metallic RuO$_2$, which has many functional properties that are highly dependent on its crystalline nature, surface area and accessibility of the redox centres. This metallic oxide is reported to be an excellent catalyst for CO oxidation,9 an interesting lithium-ion battery electrode material,10 and is especially regarded as being amongst the most promising electrode materials for supercapacitor applications in the last decade.11-15 Its high fundamental energy storage capability derives from pseudocapacitance arising from the surface redox reactions of RuO$_2$. They involve the simultaneous injections of protons and electrons:

\[ \text{RuO}_x(\text{OH})_{y-b} + x\text{H}^+ + xe^- \leftrightarrow \text{RuO}_{x-a}(\text{OH})_{b-x} \]

The capacitive storage and rate capability are greatly enhanced when the electronic and protonic transport are optimized in concert. Key to this is establishing a continuous nanocrystalline network that maintains low-defect metallic conduction pathways, but also possesses sufficient surface area and hydration for proton access. While it is possible to synthesize high surface area RuO$_2$ that exhibits textural porosity by virtue of tiny crystallite dimensions, tailoring a framework to possess long-range structural micro- and mesoporosity has proved more demanding. Thus the direct formation of highly porous hydrated crystalline RuO$_2$ without collapse of the wall structure and subsequent loss of integrity remains a major challenge. This is common to most porous transition metal oxides. Their tendency to disintegrate during template removal and/or annealing derives from the thermodynamic forces that drive the formation of a dense crystalline structure favored by TMO’s.

Previous research on MTMOs based on high or fixed oxidation state metal oxides shows they can be prepared by approaches that can generally be divided into two classes. The soft or direct template route is based on a one-pot synthesis of metal oxides using surfactants as structure-directing reagents, as in the case of silica. Although the resulting metal oxides often exhibit fragile amorphous frameworks that undergo the above-mentioned collapse on heating, several fixed oxidation state metal oxides such as zirconia, titania, alumina, niobia and tantalum have been recently prepared by this method. The more successful nanocasting route involves a hard-templating approach that relies on an inverse template method. The scaffolds (e.g., mesoporous silica or carbon and polymer or silica lattices) are used to nucleate the metal oxide structure, and are then removed via etching or calcination. The nanocasting route is cumbersome. The templates must be removed by aqueous leaching in hot NaOH or HF solution, a process that can result in structural degradation. Nonetheless, many MTMOs have been synthesized by this method, including Fe$_2$O$_3$,16 β-MnO$_2$17 Co$_3$O$_4$,18 NiO,19 and CuO.20 Mesoporous RuO$_2$ has also been
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prepared via nanocasting, but the material was very poorly ordered and displayed low-intermediate surface area.10 A clever approach to obtain crystalline mesoporous metal oxides uses the high-temperature crystallization of amorphous metal oxides in the presence of glassy phases such as silica,21 phosphorus oxide,21–22 and carbon.23 The filled amorphous glass phases provide structural support, and inhibit the growth of nanocrystals during the high-temperature treatment, thus reinforcing the mesoporous frameworks. This has resulted in TiO2 and Nb2O5 with highly crystalline frameworks to date.23

Herein we describe the first direct low-temperature, liquid crystal surfactant template–crystallization route from aqueous solution to form a quasi-ordered crystalline mesoporous RuO2·0.4H2O. The high fundamental specific capacitance of RuO2, along with long cycle life, electrical conductivity, excellent charge/discharge rates, and good reversibility11–15 has inspired much effort to elaborate its morphology. Supporting RuO2 on carbon increases the available surface area, for example, but at the expense of greatly reducing the density. Our method constitutes a novel direct approach to the crystalline oxide, which is constructed of nanosized metal oxide building blocks on the order of several nano-metres in size, that are assembled into thin walls. In this approach, cationic surfactants serve as pore-directing agents instead of non-ionic surfactants or inverse templates as previously reported. We selected hexadecyl-trimethylammonium chloride, C16TMA+Cl−, which can participate in an indirect S’X−1 interaction2 mediated by the chloride ion, and thus coordinate a cationic ruthenium nitrosyl precursor. Gentle decomposition of the initially formed mesostructured metal cation–surfactant composite leads to crystallization of the wall structure as NO is released. The metal cations are cross-linked to form M–O–M bonds, and transformed into nanosized RuO2·xH2O building blocks. The slow oxidative hydrolysis and condensation of the ruthenium oxide precursor are critical to the low-temperature crystallization process necessary to the synthesis. The nanocrystals further form three-dimensional networks during calcination to remove the surfactant. The promising electrochemical properties of our porous RuO2·xH2O result from a more highly ordered structure and almost tripled surface area (190 m2 g−1) compared to that obtained from the nanocasting method.10 Crystalline mesoporous RuO2·0.4H2O exhibits a high capacitance of 410 F g−1 and good rate capability, and the amorphous mesoporous RuO2·1.3H2O reported here displays capacitance of over 700 F g−1. In view of the wide applications of RuO2 as described above, these new materials may also open up other avenues of research.

Materials and characterization

Synthesis of materials

To prepare templated mesostructured RuO2, 1, 0.8 g of 25% (w/w) aqueous hexadecyl-trimethylammonium chloride (Fluka) solution, 6.6 g of ruthenium(III) nitrosyl nitrate solution (1.53 wt% Ru, Sigma-Aldrich), and 2.0 g of deionized water were mixed in a 20 mL glass bottle and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solution was transferred to a 100 °C oven and heated for 12 h with the bottle tightly capped. Subsequently, 2.0 g of a 2 M aqueous NaOH solution were added; and the solution was vigorously stirred for 6 h at room temperature, transferred to the 100 °C oven and heated for another 12 h. The reaction mixture was filtered and washed with copious amounts of deionized water and dried in air at 60 °C to yield 1 (typically 0.2 g). For the synthesis of mesoporous 3, 1 was heated in a forced convection oven for more than 36 h at 200 °C. Less than 36 h heating resulted in the hydrous amorphous phase, 2. Mesoporous, crystalline rutile (coherence length of 5.3 nm) RuO2, 4, was obtained by heating 1 in 48 h at 200 °C.

Characterization

Powder X-ray diffraction was performed using a Bruker D8-Advance powder diffractometer using Cu-Kα1 radiation (λ = 1.5405 Å). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) samples were sputter coated with gold and imaged using a LEO 1530 field-emission SEM equipped with an EDX attachment (Zeiss). Images were recorded using a 10 kV accelerating voltage with a secondary electron detector. Transmission electron microscopy (STEM) was carried out on a Hitachi HD-2000 operating at 200 keV. TGA was performed using a TA Instruments SDT Q600 system with air as the carrier gas (100 cc min−1) and a heating rate of 5 °C min−1.

Nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms were measured at 77 K using a Quantachrome AUTOSORB-I system. Samples were outgassed at 150 °C under vacuum line for at least 12 h before each measurement. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method was utilized to calculate the surface area by taking at least three data points P/P0 < 0.3. Pore size distributions were calculated using the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method applied to the desorption branch of the isotherm.

FTIR spectra were measured using a Bruker Vertex 70 FT-IR spectrometer in the spectral range from 1000 to 4000 cm−1.

Electrochemistry

For capacitance measurements, the cyclic voltammetric behavior between 0 and 0.9 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) was examined in a three-electrode electrochemical cell in a 0.5 M H2SO4 aqueous solution, using platinum wire as the working electrode and Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode. The working electrode was fabricated by mixing as-synthesized RuO2 powder with 3% polyvinylidene fluoride (PVdF) binder and casting the mixture on a stainless steel current collector. The typical loading of active material was approximately 2 mg cm−2, and the electrode area was 0.6 cm2. The lithium insertion properties were measured by assembling Swagelok-type cells that were galvanostatically discharged at a rate of 10 mA g−1 from their initial open circuit voltage to 1.5 V. For cathode fabrication, powders were mixed with 16% Super S and 4% polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) as a binder, coated onto aluminum foil, and dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C overnight. The cells were assembled in an argon glove box using lithium metal as the anode, glass wool as a separator and 1 M LiPF6 in 1 : 1 ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate co-solvent as the electrolyte.

Results and discussion

The porous samples were prepared starting from surfactant-templated RuO2 (1), followed by gradual removal of the
surfactant by subsequent heat-treatment. The low-angle powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns for the surfactant-templated ruthenium nano-composite (1), the amorphous mesoporous RuO₂ formed following template removal (2), and the crystalline mesoporous RuO₂ formed after annealing (3) are shown in Fig. 1a. For 1, the reflection at 1.86° was indexed as (100) and indicates the formation of a mesostructure having an inter-planar d spacing of 4.7 nm, as expected for a hexagonal mesostructure templated by C₁₆TMA⁺Cl⁻. Gentle calcination of 1 for 12 h at 200 °C to remove the surfactant results in the formation of amorphous mesoporous RuO₂ (2), as shown in the wide-angle XRD pattern in Fig. 1b. More prolonged heating (12–36 h at 200 °C) nucleates the growth of nanocrystallites of RuO₂ within the wall structure, a process that is controlled by kinetics. The wide-angle diffraction pattern initially shows poorly resolved reflections corresponding to the rutile phase of RuO₂, which sharpen upon further heat treatment (Fig. 1b). The broadness of the reflections, as estimated by application of the Scherrer equation, suggests a coherence length of about 5.3 nm for the more crystalline, but still porous sample (4). The crystallite size of the initial poorly crystalline sample (3) is considered to be on the order of the wall thickness of the mesoporous material, about 1.5 nm. The low-angle reflections of 2 and 3 have reduced intensities and are broader than those of 1, suggesting slight disordering of the mesostructure during heating. The shift of the main reflection to higher angle for the mesoporous products is consistent with a contraction of the pores upon removal of the surfactant, to give an inter-planar d spacing of approximately 3.5 nm. The water content of 2 and 3 was evaluated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) in Fig. 2a. The residual mass at 700 °C was compared with that at 200 °C to determine the water content in the crystalline structure, RuO₂·xH₂O. The carbon residue in the sample from the decomposition of organic compounds based on chemical analysis is almost negligible (0.2%, see Experimental). TGA analysis of the pure surfactant also showed only a relatively low (6%) residue after a temperature hold at 200 °C for 12 h (Fig. 2b). For the amorphous sample 2 heated for 2 h at 200 °C, the material contains 1.3 water molecules per RuO₂, considered to be ideal for pseudocapacitor properties.13-15 The stoichiometry of the crystalline material obtained on more prolonged heating (36 h at 200 °C) was estimated to be RuO₂·0.4H₂O. Removal of the water of hydration occurs simultaneously with structural crystallization.

The nanosized character of the mesostructured materials is shown in the SEM images in Fig. 3, which reveal the plate-shaped primary particles of 1 to have basal dimensions of about 100 nm.
The overall morphologies before and after surfactant removal and crystallization of the RuO₂ wall structure, i.e., of 1 and 3 were very similar. This is best demonstrated by the high resolution TEM images. The surfactant-laden mesostructured RuO₂, 1, shows a relatively smooth surface structure with an underlying “worm-like” pattern, reminiscent of mesoporous silica produced from non-ionic surfactant templating routes. The single peak in the XRD low-angle pattern arises from the regular pore size and wall thickness throughout the overall structure. The partially crystallized RuO₂, 3, displays the same particle morphology, but the porous structure of the framework created by the removal of the surfactant is clearly visible. Homogeneously sized mesopores about 2 nm in diameter are evident. The overall morphology and order are similar to those of crystalline TiO₂ obtained by polymer-mediated “scaffold” templating, but the pore dimensions and wall thickness are smaller by almost an order of magnitude, and the surface area is much higher. This accounts for the much higher surface area of our material, which is necessary for good catalytic and/or capacitance properties.

Nitrogen adsorption measurements on 3 at 77 K (Fig. 4b) reveal a very high surface area and pore volume, of 190 m² g⁻¹ and 0.12 cm³ g⁻¹, respectively (the BET plot is depicted in Fig. 4c). Normalized to mesoporous carbon, this surface area/pore volume corresponds to a respectable 2162 m² g⁻¹ and 1.37 cm³ g⁻¹. It is higher than the values obtained for mesoporous crystalline Nb₂O₅, of 72 m² g⁻¹, a material that exhibits much larger mesopores (20 nm), the pore size distribution was calculated by applying the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda algorithm to the desorption branch data. A minor portion of mesopores around 4 nm and 6 nm is present, in addition to a large proportion of micropores and mesopores centered around 2 nm. The latter represent the main structural porosity as indicated in the TEM image in Fig. 3d. The high surface area of this material is created by these small-sized and multiple pore structures. This variation is ideal for supercapacitor properties. The amorphous porous material 2 possesses a substantially larger surface area of more than 260 m² g⁻¹. However, about a third of the pore volume is contributed by the micropores as measured by the t–plot analysis (Fig. 4d) and summarized in Table 1. These micropores collapse as crystallization proceeds. The origin of the microporosity in the amorphous material can be addressed by consideration of the chemistry used to assemble the mesostructure.

The common self-assembly mechanisms invoked when cationic surfactants are used include direct S⁺I⁻ or indirect S⁺X⁻ I⁺ pathways. The direct S⁺I⁻ pathway involves the condensation of anionic inorganic species with a cationic surfactant, as in the synthesis of MCM-41. In the indirect S⁺X⁻ I⁺ pathway, the organic–inorganic self-assembly is mediated by negatively charged ions (usually chloride or bromide). In the present case, the chemical analysis for the initial surfactant-laden ruthenium mesostructure (1) measured by energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy indicates a chloride/C₁₆TMA⁺ ratio close to 1. The FTIR spectrum of 1 shown in Fig. 5a also reveals the presence of nitrosyl and hydroxyl species. The TGA of 1 using isothermal annealing at 200 °C (Fig. 5b) shows that decomposition to RuO₂ (59 wt%) occurs after 12 h. Hence we propose that the inorganic–organic self-assembly is synthesized through an indirect S⁺X⁻ I⁺ pathway mediated by the chloride ion expressed as C₁₆TMA⁺><Cl >Ru(NO)(OH)(H₂O)₅. The slow decomposition and release of nitric oxide are the source of micropores in the amorphous material. It is reported that
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**Fig. 3** SEM (upper) and TEM (lower) images of templated mesostructured RuO₂ (1, a, c); and quasi-ordered crystalline mesoporous RuO₂ (3, b, d).
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**Fig. 4** Nitrogen sorption isotherms measured at 77 K and corresponding BJH pore size distributions (inset) (a) for amorphous mesoporous RuO₂ (2) and (b) for quasi-ordered crystalline mesoporous RuO₂ (3). BET plot for crystalline mesoporous RuO₂ (c); and t–plot for amorphous RuO₂ (d). The micropore volume was calculated from the y-intercept of the graph.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>d₁₀₀₀/nm</th>
<th>Surface area/m² g⁻¹</th>
<th>Pore volume/cm³ g⁻¹</th>
<th>Micropore volume/cm³ g⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1** Physical properties of mesoporous ruthenium precipitate, amorphous RuO₂·1.3H₂O, and crystalline mesoporous RuO₂·0.4H₂O.
MCM-41 formed in alkaline solution synthesized by a direct S I' pathway has no significant chloride content using a similar surfactant, for example. The high surface area and interconnected small-mesopore network based on RuO$_2$·xH$_2$O nanocrystallites suggest these materials should behave as promising supercapacitors. To investigate their properties, the materials were cast from slurries as “thick” conventional electrodes onto stainless steel current collectors, and electrochemically cycled in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ in the voltage range 0–0.9 V, at a scan rate onto stainless steel current collectors, and electrochemically cycled in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ in the voltage range 0–0.9 V, at a scan rate of 2 mV s$^{-1}$, indicating very good retention. High capacitance (up to 1000 F g$^{-1}$) has recently been reported for RuO$_2$·xH$_2$O films (55 nm in thickness) with a very large pore dimension of 15–30 nm prepared by an evaporation self-assembly (EISA) technique, but comparison is difficult owing to the ultra-thin (55 nm) nature of these films. The capacitance values we report here measures up reasonably well, taking into account the fact that our material properties are reported for bulk cast electrodes, and that electronic contact to the current collector and transport are enhanced by up to a factor of 5–10 for thin membranes. The values we report are comparable or superior to other “bulk” cast crystalline RuO$_2$·xH$_2$O materials at similar sweep rates (e.g. 75 F g$^{-1}$ at 20 mV s$^{-1}$ V$^{-1}$ and 350 F g$^{-1}$ at 2 mV s$^{-1}$ V$^{-1}$).

This performance can be attributed to the 3-D interconnected network of pores and framework, which facilitates ion and electron transport. The mesoporous network of RuO$_2$·xH$_2$O also reduces the resistance to electrolyte penetration, and the nanoscopic RuO$_2$·xH$_2$O crystallites promote rapid proton exchange, and electron transfer.

The large surface area and nano-scale wall thickness as well as electrolyte accessibility through the nano-channels of the mesoporous RuO$_2$ can also influence its lithium insertion properties dramatically due to the enhanced kinetics implemented by the shorter diffusion path. In the present case, as shown in Fig. 7a and b, the intercalation of lithium into the mesoporous material shows drastically different behavior compared to that of the bulk. By downsizing the crystallite size to the nano-metre scale,
the redox accessibility of ruthenium dioxide is greatly increased, and much higher discharge capacity for the material with the mesoporous morphology is observed. The sloping potential of this material (in contrast to the plateau characteristic of the bulk) also implies a change in the lithium insertion reaction mechanism, which is beneficial in terms of Li⁺ ion diffusion in the solid.

Conclusions

In conclusion, a facile synthetic route to quasi-ordered crystalline mesoporous RuO₂ oxide has been developed by a cationic surfactant template route that follows an indirect S⁺X⁻ mechanism, which is beneficial in terms of Li⁺ ion diffusion in the solid. A pore structure exhibiting multiple mesoporosity. The high surface area, large pore volume and nanosized wall structure of this mesoporous material result in good properties as an electrode material for energy storage devices, especially supercapacitors. The material also has potential as a catalyst for chemical reactions, as will be reported subsequently.
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