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ABSTRACT

Global trends in the $\delta^{2}H-\delta^{18}O$ enrichment slope of continental lakes and shallow soil water undergoing natural evaporation are predicted based on a steady-state isotope balance model using basic monthly climate data (i.e., temperature and humidity), isotopes in precipitation data, and a simple equilibrium liquid-vapour model to estimate isotopes in atmospheric moisture. The approach, which demonstrates the extension of well-known conceptual models in stable isotope hydrology to the global scale, is intended to serve as a baseline reference for evaluating field-based isotope measurements of vapour, surface water, and soil water, and as a diagnostic tool for more complex ecosystem models, including isotope-equipped climate models. Our simulations reproduce the observed local evaporation line slopes (4-5 range for lakes and 2-3 range for soil water) for South America, Africa, Australia, and Europe. A systematic increase in slopes (5-8 range for lakes) towards the high latitudes is also predicted for lakes and soil water in northern North America, Asia and Antarctica illustrating a latitudinal (mainly seasonality-related) control on the evaporation signals that has not been widely reported. The over-riding control on the poleward steepening of the local evaporation lines is found to be the isotopic separation between evaporation-flux-weighted atmospheric moisture and annual precipitation, and to lesser extents temperature and humidity, all of which are influenced by enhanced seasonality in cold regions.

INTRODUCTION

Steady-state isotope balance models have often been applied to estimate long-term average water balance conditions for lakes (Dinçer, 1968; Gat, 1995). When these models are applied in climates with a pronounced seasonality in evaporation rates, especially in environments where ice cover is present, they frequently predict slopes that differ greatly from observations. In these environments the predicted evaporative enrichment slopes are commonly lower than observed, and have therefore resulted in poor agreement between oxygen-18 and deuterium estimates of water balance parameters, or have required use (or fitting) of kinetic fractionation factors that are not in agreement with the experimental studies (Zuber, 1983; Gibson et al., 1993). Here we present an extension to the well-known Craig and Gordon (1965) model of isotopic enrichment that accounts for the effects of seasonality. As the Craig and Gordon (1965) model is a universally applied model of the isotope exchange process during evaporation, we use it to derive reference values for evaluating sensitivity of the process to local conditions. Application of this model on a global scale provides a baseline reference for evaluating isotope-equipped models as well as providing insight into the potential labelling of atmospheric moisture sources.
One of the primary outcomes of this analysis is an awareness of the degree to which seasonality can influence evaporative enrichment, one of the most robust and distinctively labelled processes in the water cycle. Overall, we establish that for non-seasonal systems where precipitation-vapour equilibrium is assumed, it is expected that slope of the local evaporation line (LEL) for lakes is typically less than 5, and less than 3 for the upper soil layers. However, in climates with a pronounced seasonality in evaporation rates, especially in environments where ice-cover is present, the slope of the LEL depends on the open-water season temperature and relative humidity and on the isotopic separation between the annual precipitation ($\delta$P) and the evaporation-flux-weighted atmospheric moisture ($\delta_{\text{evap. fw}}$), as shown in Fig 1.

**THEORY**

Stable isotope tracing of evaporation and related ecosystem processes at the local, regional, and global scale requires baseline information on isotopic signatures of precipitation and atmospheric moisture, as well as general physioclimatic factors such as relative humidity and temperature that control the boundary layer structure and degree of equilibrium and kinetic isotopic fractionation (see Gonfiantini, 1986). While biotically-mediated vapour loss (transpiration) is generally non-fractionating (Gat, 1996), evaporation from open water bodies or soils produces heavy-isotope enrichment in the residual liquid and leads to a characteristic offset from the meteoric water line (MWL) in $\delta^2$H-$\delta^{18}$O space$^1$ (Fig. 1). Whereas precipitation typically clusters along the so-called Global Meteoric Water Line of Craig (1961) given by $\delta^2H = 8\delta^{18}O + 10$ and hence along a slope close to 8, the stronger kinetic effects for $^{18}$O relative to $^2$H lead to evaporative enrichment of residual liquid along lines of lower slope. Deep groundwaters are expected to retain much of the isotopic signature of precipitation unless selective or episodic recharge of evaporatively enriched soil water is a locally important process in the hydrological cycle.

The isotopic composition of precipitation is controlled by a number of factors and processes, including (i) meteorological conditions (relative humidity, sea surface temperature and wind regime) controlling evaporation of water from the ocean, (ii) rainout mechanisms, which influence the fraction of precipitable water, (iii) second-order kinetic effects such as snow

$^1$ Isotopic ratios are reported in standard “$\delta$” notation as deviations in per mil (‰) from Vienna-SMOW (Standard Mean Ocean Water), such that $\delta_{\text{sample}} = 1000 \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{SMOW}}} - 1 \right)$, where $R$ is $^{18}O/^{16}O$ or $^2H/^1H$. 
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formation or evaporation below cloud base, (iv) mixing of air masses from different oceanic source regions (Aruguás-Araguás et al., 2000), and (v) admixture of recycled water from evapotranspiration over the continents (Gat, 2000).

A simplified version of the Craig-Gordon model neglecting resistance to mixing in the liquid phase is commonly employed to describe relative changes in the isotopic composition of the evaporating flux ($\delta_E$) and the residual liquid ($\delta_L$) as water undergoes evaporation:

\[
\delta_E = \frac{1}{1 - h + \varepsilon_K} \left( \frac{\delta_L - \varepsilon^+}{\alpha^+} - h\delta_A - \varepsilon_K \right) \quad (Gonfiantini, 1986)
\]

where $h$ is the relative humidity; $\alpha^+$ is the liquid-vapour equilibrium isotopic fractionation, estimated from empirical relations derived by Horita and Weslowski (1994; for $\delta^2$H, $1000\ln \alpha^+ = 1158.8(T^3/10^9) - 1620.1(T^2/10^6) + 794.84(T/10^3) - 161.04 + 2.9992(10^9/T^3)$ and for $\delta^{18}$O, $1000\ln \alpha^+ = 7.685 + 6.7123(10^3/T) - 1.6664(10^6/T^2) + 0.35041(10^9/T^3)$; $\varepsilon^+$ is the equilibrium isotopic separation between liquid and vapour, calculated as $\varepsilon^+ = (\alpha^+ - 1)$; $\varepsilon_K$ is the equivalent kinetic isotopic separation based on wind tunnel experiments (e.g., Vogt, 1976; Merlivat, 1978; see also Gonfiantini, 1986), as discussed further below; and $\delta_A$ is the isotopic composition of ambient atmospheric vapour. Note that Eq. 1 is formulated for $h$, $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$ values in decimal notation.

Isotopic measurements of local water vapour at ground level reveals that in most cases equilibrium is maintained between vapour and precipitation over the continents (Aruguás-Araguás et al., 2000), rain being the most common form of precipitation during the evaporation season. Values for the isotopic composition of $\delta_A$ have thus often been estimated based on assumed isotopic equilibrium between atmospheric moisture and precipitation (Rozanski et al., 2001; Gibson et al., 1993), although this hypothesis remains to be rigorously tested. Gat et al. (1994), Gat and Matsui (1991) and others have shown that isotopic composition of precipitation inherently reflects recycled moisture admixtures, including biospheric water and evaporate. Estimates of the mean annual $\delta_A$ in arid climates are more difficult to determine due to the irregular distribution of precipitation events over the course of a year.

A key diagnostic variable of mass conservation for both hydrogen and oxygen isotopes during the evaporation process is the capacity of a model to predict the observed slopes of the local evaporation lines (LELs) for soil and open water in $\delta^2$H-$\delta^{18}$O space. For ideal reservoirs
(either a lake or soil water pool fed by local precipitation), the slope of the LEL \((S_{\text{LEL}})\) predicted by the Craig-Gordon model is:

\[
S_{\text{LEL}} = \left[ \frac{h(\delta_A - \delta_P) + (1 + \delta_P)(\varepsilon_K + \varepsilon^+ / \alpha^+)}{h - \varepsilon_K - \varepsilon^+ / \alpha^+} \right]^{\frac{1}{18}}
\]

where \(\delta_A\) and \(\delta_P\) are the isotopic compositions of atmospheric moisture and precipitation, respectively (again formulated for \(h, \delta\) and \(\varepsilon\) values in decimal notation). This is sometimes termed the equilibrium evaporation slope, which applies to evaporation of local precipitation (see *Gat and Bowser*, 1991), but is distinguished from non-equilibrium evaporation slopes, which describe evaporation of allochthonous water sources, i.e., waters introduced by long-distance river transport, regional groundwater flow, or artificial diversions. Local evaporation lines (LELs) have been widely reported from field studies of water bodies (e.g., *Dinçer*, 1968; *Zuber*, 1983; *Gibson*, 2002; *Gibson et al.*, 1993, 2005) and soil water (e.g., *Barnes and Allison*, 1988; *Allison et al.*, 1983). In general, soil-water LELs have been reported as having lower slopes than open water LELs, attributed to vapour transport through the stagnant, diffusion-dominated soil matrix. Turbulence associated with evaporation from open-water surfaces under typical wind conditions reduces kinetic fractionation by half (*Gat*, 1996).

Observed LEL slopes for water bodies typically range from 4.0 to 5.5 for most regions, although studies in Arctic Canada have suggested a systematic poleward steepening of the local evaporation lines (see *Gibson et al.*, 2005), while soil-water LEL slopes are generally less than 3.

Previous studies have applied Eq. 2 to predict LEL conditions relevant to local study sites, but the approach has not been previously applied at a regional or global scale largely due to lack of available information on the isotopic composition of atmospheric moisture.

**METHOD**

To develop a better understanding of potential regional variations in slopes that influence labelling of evaporated water we apply Eq. 1 to station data on a global scale, incorporating
evaporation-flux-weighting as a means to account for seasonality. In this analysis precipitation is used as a systematic proxy for the isotopic composition of atmospheric moisture. Values for $\delta_A$ were determined using the precipitation-equilibrium assumption:

$$\delta_A = \left( \delta_p - \varepsilon^+ \right) / \alpha^+$$

Because evaporation ($E$) occurs under seasonally variable environmental conditions, the exchange terms $\delta_A$, $\varepsilon^+$, $\varepsilon_K$, and $h$ in Eq. 2 were evaluated on a monthly time-step and evaporation-flux-weighted to simulate the expected annual $\delta^2$H-$\delta^{18}$O trajectories. At the same time the annual precipitation $\delta_p$ values were amount-weighted from monthly data as these are expected to mimic the annual average source water to lakes and soil water. The analysis was conducted globally for terrestrial surfaces to derive annual $\delta_A$ climatologies for both $\delta^2$H and $\delta^{18}$O. For each tracer the $\varepsilon^+$ values were derived using the equations of Horita and Wesolowski (1994), as noted above, and $\varepsilon_K$ values were based on $\varepsilon_K = n C^\theta (1-h)$ where $C^\theta$ is 25.0‰ and 28.6‰ for deuterium and oxygen-18, respectively, $n=1/2$ for open water bodies and $n=1$ for soil water, and $\theta = (1-h')/(1-h)$ is an advection term to account for the potential influence of humidity buildup, $h'$ being the adjusted humidity of the downwind atmosphere following admixture of evaporating moisture over the surface, often set to $\theta \approx 1$ for small water bodies (Vogt, 1976; Gonfiantini; 1986; Gat, 1996).

The global isotope climatology used here is based on station data used to assemble a gridded precipitation dataset by Birks et al. (2002), but also includes additional station data from the Siberian Network for Isotopes in Precipitation (SNIP) (Fig. 2a) (Kurita et al., 2004). The NCEP/NCAR reanalysis dataset (Kalnay et al., 1996) was used to obtain monthly climatologies of $T$, $h$ and $E$ for each of the stations used in this analysis. These values were extracted from 2.5° x 2.5° grid cells and consequently may not be representative of conditions at point locations within each grid, particularly in areas of high relief and for grid cells adjacent to oceans. As a sensitivity test, $S_{LEL}$ values were calculated using Eq. 2 and a variety of different estimates for $T$, $h$ and $E$ so that comparisons between $S_{LEL}$ values obtained using different values for each of these parameters could be made. Included in our comparisons were runs made with different estimates of $T$ (surface, 2m and 1000 mbar), $h$ (calculated using temperature and specific humidity, and 1000 mbar relative humidity) and $E$ (potential evaporation rate and latent heat flux, which are available directly as reanalysis products, as well as calculated potential $E$, based on $T$ and $h$).
The calculated $S_{LEL}$ values using these different combinations of parameters retained the general relationship between $S_{LEL}$ and latitude, as well as the range of $S_{LEL}$ values, regardless of which of the estimates of $T$, $h$ and $E$ were used, but differed in the number of outliers (unrealistic $S_{LEL} < 0$). Closer inspection of outliers revealed that they were primarily high-elevation stations, where all of our reanalysis-based $h$ estimates were significantly larger than station-measured climate normals. Six such stations were removed from the dataset. The figures we present are based on the $S_{LEL}$ estimates using potential $E$ calculated using 2m temperatures and 1000 mbar $h$. Note that no additional ice freeze-over or break-up masks are applied to depict the occurrence of the open-water period, although precise timing of these events might be a potentially important modifier of environmental conditions during individual spring/fall months in high-latitude or high-altitude systems. Because these months also tend to have lower evaporation rates (at least for small lakes) it was assumed for the purposes of this analysis that the annual flux-weighting would not be significantly affected by disregarding the intra-month timing of these processes. To gain a better appreciation of the spatial variations in the estimates of $S_{LEL}$, $\delta_A$ and $\delta_P$ the values determined for each of the stations were interpolated onto a $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ grid using a Cressman objective analysis, a spheres of influence method developed for interpolating meteoric data (Cressman, 1959).

**RESULTS**

Maps illustrating $\delta^{18}$O results for atmospheric moisture include: amount-weighted mean annual $\delta^{18}$O of precipitation (Fig. 2a), the atmospheric moisture $\delta^{18}$O assuming equilibrium with precipitation without monthly evaporation-flux-weighting (Fig. 2b), and finally the $\delta^{18}$O of atmospheric moisture with monthly evaporation-flux-weighting (Fig. 2c). Maps illustrating the predicted slope of evaporation lines include: ideal precipitation-fed lakes under turbulent rough surface conditions (Fig. 3a), precipitation-fed soil water with purely diffusive water transport (Fig. 3b). Intermediate slope values would be expected for the situation of smooth open-water conditions, not common in most natural system (not shown). The predicted slope values for each of the stations used in the maps in Fig. 3 are presented in Figs. 4a-c. The effect of flux-weighting becomes apparent in Fig. 4a where slopes were calculated using Eq. 2 and annual average values of $\delta_A$, $\varepsilon^*$, $\varepsilon_K$ and $h$, instead of values representative of the open water season. When these parameters are flux-weighted (Figs. 4b and c) regional differences in slopes become apparent.
Evaporation-flux-weighting the variables in Eq. 2 gives estimates of $\delta_A$, $\varepsilon^+$, $\varepsilon_K$, and $h$ that reflect the values most representative of periods when lakes undergo evaporation. A comparison with available observations of the evaporation slopes reported elsewhere are given in Table 1 and shown for comparison in Fig 4. Note that the poleward steepening in predicted slopes in the flux-weighted scenario appears to be a better match to the observations. It is important to note also that this poleward steepening cannot be attributed to uncertainties in estimating relative humidity at high latitudes, which can occur due to instrumental bias at very low temperatures (New et al., 1999), since overestimation of $h$ in these areas would result in a decrease in the calculated slopes at high latitudes, rather than this increasing trend.

**DISCUSSION**

While the use of evaporation flux-weighting for $\delta_A - \delta_P$ separation remains to be rigorously tested, the new conceptual model is capable of simulating the observed steepening of the LELs at higher latitudes while using a consistent set of exchange parameters. Lower slopes predicted by the original conceptual model at high latitudes (e.g. Fig. 4a) are evidently due to improper (or lack of) weighting for the $\delta_A - \delta_P$ separation, which does not account for the fact that evaporation and isotope exchange does not occur during periods of ice cover or that the process is seasonally variable. The effects of evaporation-flux-weighting on the $\delta_A - \delta_P$ separation is clearly illustrated in $\delta^2H-\delta^{18}O$ space (Fig. 5) where accounting for the timing of the evaporation flux during the season results in a compression of the $\delta_A$ values (Fig. 5c) that surface and soil waters equilibrate with over the active period when compared with the annual average $\delta_A$ values (Fig. 5b) encountered over the entire year. Regions where flux-weighting has the greatest influence are those with the greatest seasonality, as evident in Figs. 6a and 6b.

An interesting point that is particularly relevant to paleoclimate studies is that temporal changes in seasonality may have altered the slope of the local evaporation in the past. Application of dual oxygen-18 and deuterium tracers to lake sediment archives may therefore be able to trace changes in paleoslope of the evaporation line and provide a basis for examining past seasonality signals. For modern water balance applications, the use of non-weighted atmospheric moisture values and standard exchange parameters can result in substantial errors in computed long-term values for evaporation-to-inflow ratios, particularly for strongly seasonal climates where errors may be as high as 50% for low-throughflow/high-evaporation lakes.
Based on our model of the effects of seasonality on the isotopic labelling of evaporation, we would expect the slope of local evaporation lines to vary globally with lower values near the equator and higher values at high latitudes and altitudes, providing a readily testable hypothesis by spatial surveys of stable isotopes in lakewater. Our model predicts lakes in mid-latitude regions to have slopes ranging from 4-5, which is consistent with the ranges reported for lakes in most regions of the world (Table 1, see also Fig. 4). The only high-latitude data available are for the Canadian Arctic and Siberia where the reported $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^2H$ values result in LELs with slopes $> 5$ are also predicted by our modelling (Table 1). This trend has already been noted in northern Canada (Gibson et al., 2005). There are relatively fewer soil water $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^2H$ data available to compare with our modelled estimates. With the exception of fairly high soil water slopes reported by Darling and Bath (1988) the slopes found for soil water are systematically lower than those reported for surface waters as our model predicts.

The reason for the poleward steepening of the predicted LEL slopes becomes evident with closer examination of Eq. (2). The humidity dependence of $S_{LEL}$ varies with the seasonality of the system. Seasonality results in compression of the apparent separation between $\delta_A$ and $\delta_P$ to values much less than $\varepsilon^+$ (shown schematically in Fig. 1). In non-seasonal climates evaporation from water bodies is distributed equally over the entire year. This is in stark contrast to highly seasonal climates, which have a strong bias in the timing of evaporation. In extreme cases such as at very high latitudes, frozen conditions can effectively isolate water bodies from the atmosphere for greater than 10 months of the year. Flux-weighting the transfer terms by evaporation effectively accounts for the greater importance of meteorological conditions during periods when the majority of evaporation is occurring, instead of relying on annual averages. In seasonal climates the weighted mean annual composition of precipitation ($\delta_P$) will still likely be the starting point for evaporation for lakes and soil water, however, the atmospheric moisture ($\delta_A$) to which the evaporating water body is exposed will be representative of the evaporation-flux-weighted $\delta_A$ (see Fig. 2). In addition, the evaporation will occur under relative humidity and temperature conditions representative of the evaporative season, not the entire year (Fig 7).

**IMPLICATIONS**

Quantifying the offset of lakes and rivers from the MWL along LELs has been used within the context of an isotope-mass balance analysis to partition water budget components,
whereby fractionating losses (e.g., open-water evaporation) are distinguished from non-fractionating losses (e.g., liquid outflow or transpiration). The dual-tracer approach, using both $^2$H and $^{18}$O has generally been most successful in this application due to the ability to positively identify open-water evaporation effects in the residual liquid as described above, but also for its ability to constrain errors, or unknown water budget components such as the isotope composition of atmospheric moisture, or kinetic fractionation factors. An evolution of practical approaches has been demonstrated over time (see Gibson et al., 2005). For a simple open-water body with liquid outflow and evaporation, the fraction of evaporation losses can be estimated for each tracer from the isotopic offset in the residual liquid as

$$E/I = (\delta_P - \delta_L)/(\delta_E - \delta_L)$$

where $\delta_P$, $\delta_L$, and $\delta_E$ are the isotopic compositions of precipitation, lake water and evaporate, respectively, and $I$ is the isotopic composition of local precipitation-derived inflow. Due to practical difficulties in direct sampling of $\delta_E$, this value is commonly characterized indirectly based on knowledge of the boundary layer conditions using the Craig-Gordon model (Eq. 1). For systems in which evaporation and transpiration are both significant, outflow gauging records can be used to partition the non-evaporated fraction between transpiration losses and liquid outflows (see Gibson et al., 2005).

The effect of seasonality on the slope of local evaporation lines has implications for the partitioning of evaporation and transpiration using water isotope tracers. A variety of conceptual isotopic labelling scenarios are given for an idealized evapotranspiring system comprising lakes or storage reservoirs and vegetated transpiring land surfaces in various climatic situations (Fig. 8 a-c). As shown, the seasonality effect has little impact on the predicted LEL slopes at low latitudes (Fig. 8a), with both the Craig-Gordon model and our seasonal model predicting slopes of about 4. Lakes in these regions are typically close to isotopic steady-state, so that $\delta_E$ tends to be similar to $\delta_P$. While liquid water partitioning is therefore likely to be successful, the vapour fluxes $\delta_E$ and $\delta_T$ are likely to be poorly distinguished with both being approximately equal to the mean annual $\delta_P$ composition, resulting in a situation where one should expect little potential for vapour partitioning using isotope tracers on an annual time-scale. Short-term variations may still produce significant temporal offsets, but on the long-term these differences are likely to diminish.

The situation changes in mid-latitude regions where lakes are less likely to reach isotopic steady-state (Fig. 8b). Our seasonal conceptual model predicts that slopes of local evaporation
lines in these setting should be slightly steeper (5-6) than predicted by the Craig-Gordon model (~4). In these areas the $\delta_E$ produced from evaporating surface waters will be isotopically distinct from precipitation and transpiration because the slopes of the LELs should be sufficiently different from the local meteoric water line if the lakes are not at isotopic steady-state. Transpiration in these settings will resemble weighted mean annual precipitation and the isotopic difference between $\delta_E$ and $\delta_T$ suggests there should be potential for partitioning these fluxes using isotope tracers both in the residual liquid and in the vapour phase.

For high-latitude regions with highly seasonal climates our model suggests that the slopes of the LEL will at some point approach the slope of most MWLs (~8), creating a situation where the LEL is co-linear with the MWL and $\delta_E$, $\delta_T$ and $\delta_P$ all appear to plot on an apparent MWL. The similarity between the slopes of the LEL and MWL would make detecting evaporative enrichment effects and partitioning evaporation and transpiration more difficult, especially in the liquid phase. It is important to clarify that isotopic detection of evaporate and transpirate in the vapour phase should still be possible at extreme high-latitudes as $\delta_E$ and $\delta_T$ are not expected to be identical. The tendency toward co-linearity at high-latitudes may however diminish the value of applying the dual tracer approach, although this remains to be verified.
Table 1: Summary of published $S_{LEL}$ values for surface waters and soil waters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Observed $S_{LEL}$ (surface water)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>4.8-7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>4.1-4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland</td>
<td>Close to icesheet</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>coastal</td>
<td>4.9-5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavia</td>
<td>Southern Sweden</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siberia</td>
<td>Taimyr Peninsula</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Egridir and Beysehir</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Andes</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Sahel Lakes</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mt. Menka</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Western</td>
<td>4.3-4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Queensland</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>Western Tibet</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Western China</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Gibson et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Yehdegho et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Teranes and McKenzie, 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Zuber, 1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Fontes and Gonfiattini, 1967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Rietti-Shati et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Hamilton et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Turner et al., 1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Fontes et al., 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Yang et al., 1995) saline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Observed $S_{LEL}$ (soil water)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>NWT</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>5.7 to 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Sahara</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Western</td>
<td>3.7 to 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Queensland</td>
<td>2.7 to 3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure Captions

**Figure 1:** $\delta^2H$-$\delta^{18}O$ plots showing the conceptual model of evaporative isotope enrichment (a) for a non-seasonal climate with constant monthly evaporation rate, assuming precipitation-vapour isotopic equilibrium, and (b) for a seasonal climate with variable monthly evaporation rate, assuming precipitation is evaporation-flux-weighted. Note that the isotopic separation between the effective long-term liquid and vapour is less than equilibrium in (b) due to the seasonality effect (i.e., $\delta_p^{\text{annual}} - \delta_{\lambda}^{\text{evap.fw}} < \epsilon^+$). Note that $\epsilon^+$ is evaluated at annual and monthly flux-weighted temperature for (a) and (b), respectively.

**Figure 2:** Plots of $\delta^{18}O$ in the following: (a) mean annual amount-weighted precipitation based on monthly data of Birks et al. (2002) with additional data from SNIP (Kurita et al., 2004), (b) mean annual atmospheric moisture composition assuming liquid-vapour equilibrium with annual precipitation above, and (c) evaporation-flux-weighted atmospheric moisture assuming liquid-vapour equilibrium.

**Figure 3** Modelled slopes of equilibrium local evaporation lines calculated for (a) surface waters and (b) soil water.

**Figure 4:** Slopes calculated using Equation 2 for each of the GNIP (filled symbols) and SNIP (open symbols) stations, compared to observations compiled from table 1 (cross symbols). In (a) average annual values of $\delta_h$, $\epsilon^+$, $\epsilon_k$, and $h$ are used in the calculations, producing a fairly uniform predicted slope regardless of latitude. When the same calculations are performed using evaporation-flux-weighted values a pronounced steepening of the predicted $S_{LEL}$ for (b) lakes and (c) soil water becomes apparent.

**Figure 5:** a) The $\delta^2H$-$\delta^{18}O$ relationship for precipitation ($\delta_p$) for all stations contained in the GNIP and SNIP datasets plotted with the modelled atmospheric water vapour ($\delta_{\lambda}$) and the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL). Examining the calculated $\delta_{\lambda}$ values without (b) and with (c) evaporation flux-weighting illustrates the importance of seasonality in determining the ambient atmospheric conditions when surface water evaporates.

**Figure 6:** The regions where evaporation-flux-weighting has the largest influence becomes apparent in (a) the latitudinal distribution of $(\delta_p - \delta_{\lambda})/\epsilon^+$ and in (b) the difference between the average annual $\delta_{\lambda}$ of water vapour $\delta^{18}O$ and the evaporation-flux-weighted average water vapour $(\delta_{\lambda}^{\text{evap.fw}})$. Note that $\epsilon^+$ in (a) is evaluated using annual temperature.

**Figure 7:** The effects of evaporation flux-weighting are evident in comparisons of predicted $S_{LEL}$ and temperature (a-b), relative humidity (c-d) and for $^{18}O$, the separation between $\delta_p$ and $\delta_{\lambda}$ (e) and the evaporation flux-weighted separation normalized to the predicted equilibrium separation for $^{18}O$ (f). Evaporation flux-weighting results in the flux-weighted temperatures being significantly higher than the seasonal averages. In regions having high $S_{LEL}$ the flux-weighted relative humidities are higher than the seasonal averages. The separation between weighted mean annual precipitation $\delta_p$ and the equilibrium predicted $\delta_{\lambda}$ is greatest in regions with the highest $S_{LEL}$ (e). The greatest deviation between the flux-weighted separation and predicted separation
also occurs in regions with the highest slopes (f). Note that $\varepsilon_{18}$ is an estimate for $^{18}$O based on annual temperature.

**Figure 8:** Conceptual model showing the effects of seasonality on the isotopic labelling of evaporation ($\delta_E$) and transpiration ($\delta_T$) and the potential for using isotopic labelling to distinguish between the two fluxes. At low latitudes (a) $\delta_E$ and $\delta_T$ will be poorly labelled as both will be very similar to the mean annual $\delta_P$ values. In mid-latitude regions (b) there is a much better potential for isotopic labelling and separation of the evaporation and transpiration fluxes. At very high latitudes (c) the steepening of the LEL results in a situation where the evaporative flux will be indistinguishable isotopically from transpired moisture and precipitation due to similarity of the MWL and LEL slopes. Note that $\varepsilon^+$ depicts the monthly flux-weighted value which is indistinguishable from the annual value for the idealized low-latitude scenario shown in (a).
Non-seasonal climate with precip.-vapour equilibrium

Seasonal climate with precip.-vapour equilibrium weighted by monthly E flux
Figure 1: (a) Relationship between δ²H and δ¹⁸O for δ_p (GNIP and SNIP) and δ_a (modelled annual average) compared to the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL). (b) δ_a (annual average) compared to the GMWL. (c) δ_a (flux weighted) compared to the GMWL.
Low Latitude
\[ \delta_e, \delta_T \text{ poorly labelled:} \]
\[ \delta_T \approx \delta_P \]
\[ \delta_e \approx \delta_P \]

Mid-Latitude
\[ \delta_e, \delta_T \text{ distinctly labelled:} \]
\[ \delta_T \approx \delta_P \]
\[ \delta_e \neq \delta_P \]

High-Latitude
\[ \delta_e, \delta_T \text{ fall on MWL \rightarrow difficult to identify evaporative enrichment} \]
\[ \delta_T \approx \delta_P \]
\[ \delta_e < \delta_P \]
\[ \delta_L > \delta_P \]